

### Problem 1: Demand learning and the spiral-down effect

In this problem, you will simulate joint learning and setting of protection-levels, in settings with perfect segmentation (where simple demand estimation gives the optimal protection level), and with a more general customer-choice model (where you get the spiral-down effect).

#### Part (a)

For all parts in this problem, we will consider making reservations for a flight with  $c = 100$  seats, using two fare classes with prices  $p_1 = \$200$  and  $p_2 = \$100$ . Write a simulator which generates a stream of  $T$  arrivals, and for any given protection level  $x$ , returns the number of tickets sold of fare class 1 and fare class 2, as well as the total revenue.

To test your simulator, assume that  $T = 200$ , each incoming request is of type  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  (where 0 means no request, 1 means request for fare-class 1, and 2 means request for fare-class 2) with probabilities  $\lambda = \{0.1, 0.3, 0.6\}$ , and the protection levels are 0 and 100.

*Note: Remember that the protection level indicates the minimum number of seats you should preserve for high-fare customers. In particular, when you sell a high-fare ticket, you need to reduce both the remaining capacity and the protection level.*

#### Part (b)

Next, for the same system parameters ( $c = 100, p = \{200, 100\}, \lambda = \{0.1, 0.3, 0.6\}$ ), and for number of arrivals  $T = 100$  and  $T = 200$ , generate 1000 sample-paths of request arrivals, and for each path, find the revenue under each possible protection level  $x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 100\}$ . Plot the expected revenue vs. protection-level, and verify that the maximum revenue corresponds to the optimal protection-level (from Littlewood's rule)

*Hint: Since  $p_1/p_2 = 1/2$ , the optimal protection-level  $x^*$  corresponds to the median of  $F_1$ , i.e., it satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(D_1 \leq x^*) \geq 1/2$ . What is the distribution of  $D_1$ ?*

#### Part (c)

Now, we want to set the protection-level based on past sales-data. Again set system parameters as  $c = 100, p = \{200, 100\}, \lambda = \{0.1, 0.3, 0.6\}$ , and for number of requests  $T = 100$ , simulate 1000 flights. For the first 50 flights, set the protection-level to 100; for each subsequent flight, compute the optimal protection-level using the empirical distribution of fare-class 1 tickets sold in previous flights. Plot the protection-level vs. number of flights for both  $T = 100$  and  $T = 200$ .

#### Part (d)

For  $T = 100$  and 200, compare the estimated protection level after 1000 flights with the optimal level computed in part (b); are they the same? Also, plot the empirical distribution of fare-class 1 tickets sold, and compare to the true distribution. Can you explain any discrepancies in the distributions in the two cases?

**Part (e)**

As in the discussion on the spiral-down effect, we now assume that we have a third type of agents who want a fare-class 2 ticket, but if that is unavailable, are willing to buy a fare-class 1 ticket. Formally, assume that each incoming request is of type  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  (where 3 represents the new price-conscious type) with request-probabilities  $\lambda = \{0.1, 0, 0.4, 0.5\}$  (i.e, there are no agents who only want fare-class 1 tickets, but half the requests are from agents who are willing to buy fare-class 1 if fare-class 2 is unavailable).

For  $T = 100$ , and for 1000 flights, repeat the procedure in part (c), setting the protection-level for the first 50 flights to  $c$ , and for each subsequent flight via Littlewood's rule on the empirical distribution of fare-class 1 tickets sold in previous flights.

**Part (f)**

Finally, in the above setting (with  $T = 100$  and request-probabilities  $\lambda = \{0.1, 0, 0.4, 0.5\}$ ), find the optimal protection-level as in part (b) (by finding the expected revenue over 1000 samples for each protection-level in  $\{0, 1, \dots, 100\}$ ).