

ASSORTMENT OPTIMIZATION

- (Recall) Choice Model. Given $S \subseteq N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (products)

- $\Pi_j(S) = \text{IP}[\text{Prod } j \text{ purchased from } S]$

- LCA choice models - $\exists \vartheta_i > 0$ s.t.

$$\Pi_j(S) = \frac{\vartheta_j}{\vartheta_0 + \vartheta(S)}, \quad \begin{matrix} \vartheta_0 \equiv \text{'attractiveness' of} \\ \text{no purchase} \end{matrix}$$

$$\vartheta(S) \equiv \sum_{i \in S} \vartheta_i$$

- Mixture of LCA (mixed MNL)

$$\Pi_j(S) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha^g \left(\frac{\vartheta_j^g}{\vartheta_0^g + \vartheta^g(S)} \right), \quad \sum_{g \in G} \alpha^g = 1$$

- Mankoo Chain choice model - $\lambda \equiv \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in N}$
 $P \equiv \{P_{ij}\}_{i, j \in N^2}$

IP[purchase $j \in S$]: $\Pi_j(S) = \lambda_j + \sum_{i \in S} \phi_i(S) p_{ij} \quad \forall j \in S$

IP[consider $j \notin S$]: $\phi_j(S) = \lambda_j + \sum_{i \in S} \phi_i(S) p_{ij} \quad \forall j \in \bar{S}$

$$\Pi_0(S) = 1 - \sum_{j \in S} \Pi_j(S)$$

- The assortment optimization problem

- Exogenous prices (profits) $p_j \quad \forall j \in N$

- $R^* = \max_{S \subseteq N} R(S) = \max_{S \subseteq N} \sum_{j \in S} p_j \Pi_j(S)$

* Assortment Opt under LCA / MNL

(2)

$$R(s) = \sum_{j \in s} \frac{p_j v_j}{v_0 + \phi(s)}, \quad R^* = \max_{s \subseteq N} R(s)$$

Thm - Let $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$

(Nested-by-revenue sets) $E_0 = \emptyset, E_1 = \{1\}, E_2 = \{1, 2\}, \dots, E_n = N$

Then $\exists k^* \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. $E_{k^*} \in \arg \max_{s \subseteq N} R(s)$

Pf - By definition $R^* \geq \sum_{j \in s} \frac{p_j v_j}{v_0 + \phi(s)} \quad \forall s \subseteq N$

$$\Rightarrow v_0 R^* \geq \sum_{j \in s} v_j (p_j - R^*) \quad \forall s \subseteq N$$

$\therefore \exists$ some $s \subseteq N$ s.t. $R(s) = R^*$

$$\Rightarrow \arg \max_{s \subseteq N} R(s) = \arg \max \left\{ \sum_{j \in s} (p_j - R^*) v_j \right\}$$

- Thus we want to find $s \in \arg \max \left\{ \sum_{j \in s} (p_j - R^*) v_j \right\}$

$$\Rightarrow S^* = \{j \in N ; p_j \geq R^*\}$$

- Now even if we do not know R^* , it is clear

- that we only need to consider $s \in \{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_N\}$

LCA with constraints

- Let $x_j^S \in \{0, 1\}^n$ = indicator of set $S \subseteq N$
(i.e., $x_j^S = \prod_{j \in S} 1$)
- We now want to solve a constrained assortmen optⁿ

$$\max_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} \frac{\sum_{j \in N} p_j v_j x_j}{v_0 + \sum_{j \in N} v_j x_j}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j \in N} a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad \forall i \in L$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall j \in N$$

- Assumption - $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ is totally unimodular, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$
(\Rightarrow extreme points of $\{Ax \leq b\}$ are integral)

Eg - $\sum_{j \in N} x_j \leq c$

- If $N = \underbrace{S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_k}_{\text{Partition}}$, $\sum_{j \in S_i} x_j \in \{b_{S_i}, \dots, B_{S_i}\}$

- Joint Pricing and assortment optⁿ

- Products $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$, Prices $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$

- v_{ik} = attractiveness of product i at price P_k

- Idea - Create virtual products: $x_{ik}^i \equiv$ product i at price k

- Constraint: at most one $x_{ik}^i = 1$ for every i

- How do we solve constrained MNL pricing?

OPT1: $\max \sum_{j \in N} \frac{P_j v_j x_j}{v_0 + v^T x}$

s.t. $Ax \leq b$
 $(L \times N) \rightarrow x_j \in \{0,1\}$

$$\max \sum_{j \in N} P_j y_j$$

s.t. $\sum_{j \in N} y_j + y_0 = 1$

$$\sum_{j \in N} \frac{a_{ij}}{v_j} y_j \leq \frac{b_i}{v_0} y_0 \quad \forall i \in L$$

$$0 \leq \frac{y_j}{v_j} \leq \frac{y_0}{v_0} \quad \forall j \in N$$

Thm - The above problems have the same optimal objective. Moreover, given a solution to OPT2, we can construct a solution to OPT1.

Pf- First, as in previous result, we have OPT1 is equivalent to

OPT3 $\max \sum_{j \in N} (P_j - R^*) \frac{v_j}{v_0} x_j$, where $R^* = \text{OPT1 objective}$

s.t. $Ax \leq b, 0 \leq x_j \leq 1$

This follows from LCA + total unimodularity of A form

Thus we need to show $\text{OPT3} \equiv \text{OPT2}$

Let $\{y_j^*\}_{j \in N \setminus \{0\}}$ be an optimal soln to OPT2

$\{x_j^*\}_{j \in N \setminus \{0\}}$ be an optimal soln to OPT3

By defn, $\text{OPT3}(x_j^*) = R^*$

Now we show $y_j^* = \text{OPT2}(y_j^*) = R^*$

$$\text{Let } \hat{y}_j = \frac{v_j x_j^*}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*}, \quad \hat{y}_0 = 1 - \sum_{j \in N} \hat{y}_j = \frac{v_0}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*}$$

then $\{\hat{y}_j\}$ satisfies constraints of OPT2

$$- \sum_{j \in N} \frac{a_{ij}}{v_j} \hat{y}_j = \sum_{j \in N} \frac{a_{ij} x_j^*}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*} \leq \frac{b_i}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*} = \frac{b_i \hat{y}_0}{v_0}$$

$$- \frac{\hat{y}_j}{v_j} = \frac{x_j^*}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*} \leq \frac{\cancel{\hat{y}_0}}{v_0} \quad \forall j$$

$\Rightarrow \{\hat{y}_j\}$ is feasible for OPT2

$$\Rightarrow y^* \geq \text{OPT2}(\{\hat{y}_j\}) = \sum_j p_j \frac{x_j^* v_j}{v_0 + \sum v_i x_i^*} = R^*$$

Now suppose $y^* = \text{OPT2}(\{y_j^*\}) > R^*$. Note $y_0^* > 0$

Consider $\hat{x}_j = \frac{y_j^* / v_j}{y_0^* / v_0}$ - check that \hat{x}_j is feasible for OPT3

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \text{OPT3}(\{\hat{x}_j\}) &= \sum_{j \in N} (p_j - R^*) \frac{v_j}{v_0} \hat{x}_j = \frac{1}{y_0^*} \sum_{j \in N} p_j y_j^* - \frac{R^*(1-y_0^*)}{y_0^*} \\ &> \frac{R^*}{v_0^*} - \frac{R^*(1-y_0^*)}{v_0^*} = R^* \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

* Assortment optⁿ for universal approximators

6

- We now consider assortment optimization for choice models which serve as universal approximators
 - First consider the mixture of MNL model
Q: Does this have an 'easy' (poly-time) algorithm for assortment optⁿ?

A: No!

Consider the problem - 2 classes, $\alpha_a + \alpha_b = 1$
 - Prices p_i , choice parameters $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^N$

Q: Given any K , does there exist $S \subset N$ s.t.

$$(2\text{-Class Logit}) \sum_{i \in N} \left(\frac{d_i^a v_i^a p_i}{v_i^a + v_i^a(s)} + \frac{d_i^b v_i^b p_i}{v_i^b + v_i^b(s)} \right) \geq K ?$$

Thm (RSTT 13) - 2-class Logit is NP-complete
(Reduction from Set partition)

Eg - (Nested-by-revenue is not optimal)

$$\alpha^a = 0.5, \quad g^a = (5, 20, 1)$$

$$\alpha^b = 0.5, \quad g^b = (15, 10, 10)$$

$$\text{Prices} = (8, 4, 3)$$

Then opt for class a $\equiv \{1\}$, $R^* = 20/3$

opt for class b $\equiv \{1, 2\}$, $R^* = 26/7$

opt for mixture $\equiv \{1, 3\}$, $R^* = 4.48$

- What about the Markov Chain choice model

Thm₁^(BGG'16) - Assortment opt under MC model (A, P)
is equivalent to the following LP

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i \in N} p_i g_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i \geq p_i \quad \forall i \in N \\ & g_i \geq \sum_{j \in N} p_{ij} g_j \quad \forall i \in N \\ & g_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Here $g_i = \text{'optimal expected revenue starting from } i'$
- Moreover, $g_i = p_i \Rightarrow i \in S^*, g_i = \sum_{j \in N} p_{ij} g_j \Rightarrow i \in N \setminus S^*$